

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,803	·	07/21/2003	Rudolf Bertagnoli	P07878US00/MP	3480
881	7590	06/24/2005		EXAM	INER
		SON PLLC	REIMERS, ANNETTE R		
1199 NO. SUITE 90		FAX STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN	IDRIA, VA	22314	3732		
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique O management	10/622,803	BERTAGNOLI, RUDOLF	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette R. Reimers	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir yo period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	سر.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fora) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do			
2. Certified copies of the priority do			
3. Copies of the certified copies of	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International		received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 and 19-21 drawn to an instrument for spreading/retaining at least two vertebrae in a spaced apart condition, classified in class 606, subclass 90.
- II. Claims 15-18, drawn to a method for separating adjacent vertebrae from each other and maintaining them in a spaced apart condition, classified in class 606, subclass 86.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the method can be practiced by a different apparatus, e.g. one that does not require the use of anchor screws to separate the vertebrae.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species (Frame):

- I. Figure 2
- II. Figure 10
- III. Figure 11
- IV. Figure 12

Subspecies (Retaining structure):

- I. Figure 1
- II. Figure 10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,803

Art Unit: 3732

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR

AK

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5